

Adrian Davis

200 Hillside Cottage Lane, #622

Nashville, TN 37207

Phone: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

RECEIVED

AUG 24 2026

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

August 15, 2026

Kentucky Public Service Commission

Attn: Executive Director / Case Records

211 Sower Boulevard

Frankfort, KY 40601

Re: Case No. 2025-00361 — Adrian Davis v. Kentucky Utilities Company — Request for Reconsideration and Reopening of Dismissal Order

Dear Executive Director:

I am writing regarding Case No. 2025-00361, my formal complaint against Kentucky Utilities Company concerning billing at the service address of 1820 Gettysburg Road, Lexington, Kentucky 40504. I am requesting that the Commission reopen this matter and reconsider its Order of April 14, 2026, dismissing the complaint for failure to establish a prima facie case.

I did not receive actual notice of the Commission's Order of January 29, 2026, or its Order of April 14, 2026, at the time they were issued. I responded to correspondence I received from the Commission regarding this case, but did not receive a further reply before the case was closed. As a result, I was not able to timely respond in a way that reflects the full facts of this dispute, or to pursue rehearing or appeal within the statutory windows.

I respectfully ask the Commission to reopen Case No. 2025-00361 in the interest of fairness and due process, and to allow me the opportunity to supplement the record with additional evidence supporting the complaint, including:

- Comparative billing data showing usage at the service address significantly higher than similarly situated homes of comparable size, construction, and occupancy in the same area, with no corresponding change in household circumstances;
- The absence of an independent, third-party meter test or data audit despite the magnitude of the billing discrepancy;
- The utility's communication that investigative fees could be assessed if its internal review found no discrepancy, which I believe discouraged a full and fair review of the disputed charges.

I believe these facts, if fully considered, meet the prima facie standard under KRS 278.260(2) and warrant a full review on the merits, including the independent meter testing and billing verification contemplated by 807 KAR 5:006 and 807 KAR 5:041.

I would appreciate the Commission's written response regarding whether this matter can be reopened, and if any additional documentation or a formal motion is required to do so. I can be reached at the phone number and email address above.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Adrian Davis

Adrian Davis